PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	U 8	JUL	2005
WIPO			PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

						
Applicant's or agent's file reference WO 21.1154	FOR FURTHER ACTION	THER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No. International filing date			ate (day/month/year)			
PCT/EP2004/008666 02.08.2004		08.08.2	2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or E21B47/00, G01V1/46	national classification and IPC					
Applicant SERVICES PETROLIER SCHLUI	MBERGER et al.					
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 						
2. This REPORT consists of a total		over sheet.				
3. This report is also accompanied						
a. sent to the applicant and	d to the International Bureau)	a total of sheets, as follows:	no totale della comi			
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
☐ sheets which super beyond the disclosu Supplemental Box.	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the					
b. (sent to the International	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a					
Box Relating to Sequen	ce Listing (see Section 802 of	f the Administrative Instruction	ns).			
4. This report contains indications	s relating to the following iten	s:				
☑ Box No. I Basis of the	opinion					
☐ Box No. II Priority						
☐ Box No. III Non-establis	hment of opinion with regard	to novelty, inventive step and	industrial applicability			
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity	of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
☐ Box No. VIII Certain obse	ervations on the international	application				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report				
Date of Submission of the demand		•				
16.02.2005		07.07.2005				
Name and mailing address of the international		Authorized Officer	niches Palenten.			
preliminary examining authority:			ing the state of t			
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich		Bellingacci, F	(((Q))			
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Telephone No. +49 89 2399- 272	Sylves on the state of the stat			
	i	2/-	·			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008666

	Box No. I	Basis of the report					
1.		Ith regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was ed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	which	report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) blication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)					
2.	have beel	th regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which ve been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this port as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>					
	Descriptio	Description, Pages					
	1-27	as originally filed					
	Claims, No	umbers					
	1-20	as originally filed					
	Drawings, Sheets						
	1/13-13/13	as originally filed					
	□ a sec	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing					
3.	☐ th ☐ th ☐ th ☐ th	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: e description, pages e claims, Nos. e drawings, sheets/figs e sequence listing (specify): ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
4.	had not b Suppleme th th th	☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
	* If i	tem 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008666

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-20

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-20

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-20

No: Clain

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/008666

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

V-1 Closest prior art is any of the documents discussed in the first 7 pages of the application. The documents describe methods for evaluating properties of material on the outer side of a cemented casing, the methods being based respectively on extensional, thickness and flexural modes of vibration of the casing. The objective of the present application is to improve the reliability of said evaluations.

This problem is solved by using more than one method simultaneously to yield more than one measurement, combining the measurement and basing the evaluation on a combination of said measurements (corresponding features also in the apparatus claim 14).

The claimed methods (and apparatuses) do not consists merely of a juxtaposition of known features, as they foresee one single evaluation based on a combination of measurements and not a plurality of evaluations each based on the respective measurements. Independent claims 1 and 14, together with related dependant claims, meet therefore the requirements of Art. 33(3) PCT.